Reviewer Form
RMN REPOSITORY ADVISORY

Application #:
PI:
Title:
Date Reviewed:
Material Requested (for each indicate CC and study source, e.g., Columbia/COH-IUI Study):
  o Data  
  o Serum  
  o DNA  
  o Other  

(Checked boxes indicate criteria were met. Comments may be included)

QUALITY OF THE SCIENCE

1) □ Scientific integrity of the application, with quantitative ratings of the science and technical implementation (similar to what is applied in CSR). Clearly defined hypotheses or research questions with specified exposure and outcome information.
   Comments:

2) □ Represents new science, not a confirmation of existing information.
   Comments:

3) □ Proposed study design is consistent with question and is optimal for the question.
   Comments:

4) □ Proposed study design optimizes opportunity for determining causality.
   Comments:

5) □ Proposed study is relevant to the RMN’s goals of improving the health of women and men with reproductive disorders.
   Comments:
6) ☐ The nature of the plan (and its timeline for implementation) to make the findings available to the larger scientific community and the public (i.e., agreement to return data to Repository (with documentation) for data-sharing.

Comments:

IMPLEMENTATION

7) ☐ Assurance that the proposed research question is not a question that is CURRENTLY or proposed being undertaken by the RMN.

Comments:

8) ☐ Probability and timeliness that the investigation will be funded.

Comments:

9) ☐ Clear positive benefit for using the samples relative to the “cost” of releasing samples considering the reports from RO and “Scientific Review”.

Comments:

10) ☐ Is likely to have difficulty in review relative to gender and application to children.

Comments:

Summary Comments: